

Do you agree or disagree with the following statement?

People today spend too much time on personal enjoyment doing things they like to do rather than doing things they should do.

Agree: 1- it depends of the circumstances: frivolous individuals: not interested in taking responsibility: bad effects on economy and social situation, family, 2- not too bad trike balance between both of them

With the progress of technology and humans' perseverance for facilitation of their assignments, notwithstanding its numerous advantages, novel social and personal characteristics have emerged, some of which were the humans' tendency to have frivolous lifestyle and even preference to avoid confronting unresolved challenges and tribulations. Although these mentioned individuals believe that pursuing doing their enjoyment is a pivotal factor for pleasure, some thinks this approach has irreversible outcomes, and I myself think likewise to some extent (in some aspects).

First and foremost, it is a widely-held belief that the sense of adventure, being successful and even in a broadened view, becoming famous has have been an some—incentive for people to progress, invent and discover in diverse areas of study. The point is how we can expect a person always standing on the sidelines to be inclined to revitalize this inalienable condition of humans' advancements? In other words, it sounds plausible that not only will not human society progress, also its situation can be aggravated escalated in the upcoming future. As an example, nowadays some developed countries such as Australia and England intend to employ apply hard-working labor from developing countries, since they are more meticulous and have more competitive in natureinstinct.

Secondly, I maintain that some people dedicating their time ~~to on~~ their own favorite affairs excessively may be reluctant to sacrifice themselves for others' needs and desires. Furthermore, it is probable to avoid taking on some severe responsibilities like raising children, and in the case of acceptance ~~of their the~~ parental role, they will not make sufficient effort to nurture productive social members, not to mention the possibility that their offspring may just consume ~~e ing the~~ economic resources of a country.

And last but not least, it is worth bearing in mind that there are some exceptions among these types of personalities. On the other hand, they are neither apathetic, nor neglectful (irresponsible). They have enforced one dimension of their personality per se. The majority of scholars and tremendous specialists tackle this psychological phenomenon. For instance, Einstein or Tolstoy had not had satisfying family life, owing to ~~the fact that~~ they were keen on carrying out their ~~interests favors~~ compulsively.

To put in a nutshell, I agree with the topic that in the modern epoch, people prefer to concentrate on their own interest more than before. It can have some menaces like continuation of Homo sapiens' advances with ~~a~~ slower pace and even reduction of future members' efficiency, but otherwise some genius~~es~~ spend too much on their enjoyments just because of one-dimensional personalities.